Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AUDREA BUCKLEY	1617	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>AUDREA BUCKLEY</u> .	(3) <u>Steven Marsh</u> .		
(2) <u>Gary Abalev</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 02 May 2012.			
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant	applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All pending</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Anderson and Yuzhakov</u> .			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
It was agreed that "at least" (line 6 of claim 1 and line 8 of claim 11) would be deleted from claims 1 and 11.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MFEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
☐ Attachment			
	/AB/		7

Application No.

10/599,519

Applicant(s)

MANSTEIN, DIETER